

(1) President Yeltsin has consistently tried to push forward economic and political reform;

(2) President Yeltsin was given a mandate by the Russian people to hold elections and continue the process of economic reform;

(3) Boris Yeltsin is the first and only popularly elected president of Russia, and the parliament of Russia is a holdover from the Soviet regime;

(4) the conservative parliament has consistently impeded political and economic progress in Russia;

(5) slow progress on economic reform has prompted the IMF to review its disbursement of Russia's second tranche from the Systemic Transformation Facility;

(6) political and economic reform has been impeded by the actions of the hardline parliament; and

(7) corruption is rampant and is impeding economic and political reform and must be vigorously and effectively combated.

(b) Sense of the Congress.—It is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) the Congress supports President Yeltsin in his effort to continue the reform process in Russia, including his call for new parliamentary elections consistent with the results of the April 25, 1993 referendum; and

(2) further United States Government economic assistance should be provided in accordance with President Yeltsin's call for and holding of free, fair, and democratic parliamentary elections.

And the Senate agree to the same.

DAVID R. OBEY,

SIDNEY R. YATES,

CHARLES WILSON,

JOHN W. OLVER,

NANCY PELOSI,

ESTEBAN TORRES,

NITA M. LOWEY,

JOSE E. SERRANO,

WILLIAM H. NATCHER,

BOB LIVINGSTON,

JOHN PORTER,

JIM LIGHTFOOT,

SONNY CALLAHAN,

JOSEPH M. MCDADE,

Managers on the Part of the House.

MITCH MCCONNELL,

ALFONSE M. D'AMATO,

ARLEN SPECTER,

DON NICKLES,

CONNIE MACK,

PHIL GRAMM,

MARK O. HATFIELD,

PATRICK J. LEAHY,

DANIEL K. INOUEY,

DENNIS DECONCINI,

FRANK R. LAUTENBERG,

TOM HARKIN,

BARBARA A. MIKULSKI,

DIANNE FEINSTEIN,

ROBERT C. BYRD,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

When said conference report was considered.

After debate,

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered on the conference report to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to said conference report?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BRYANT, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 321
Nays 108

¶108.15

[Roll No. 467]

YEAS—321

Abercrombie

Ackerman

Andrews (ME)

Andrews (NJ)

Andrews (TX)

Applegate

Bacchus (FL)

Bachus (AL)

Baesler

Baker (LA)

Ballenger

Barca

Barcia

Barlow

Barrett (WI)

Bartlett

Bateman

Becerra

Bellenson

Bentley

Bereuter

Berman

Bevill

Bilbray

Bilbrakis

Bishop

Blackwell

Bliley

Blute

Boehlert

Boehner

Bonior

Borski

Boucher

Brewster

Browder

Brown (CA)

Brown (FL)

Brown (OH)

Bryant

Burton

Byrne

Calvert

Camp

Cantwell

Cardin

Carr

Castle

Chapman

Clayton

Clement

Clinger

Clyburn

Coble

Coleman

Collins (IL)

Collins (MI)

Cooper

Coppersmith

Coyne

Cramer

Danner

Darden

Deutsch

Diaz-Balart

Dickey

Dicks

Dingell

Dixon

Dornan

Dunn

Durbin

Edwards (CA)

Edwards (TX)

Emerson

Engel

English (AZ)

Eshoo

Evans

Ewing

Farr

Fawell

Fazio

Filner

Fingerhut

Fish

Foglietta

Ford (TN)

Fowler

Frank (MA)

Franks (CT)

Franks (NJ)

Frost

Furse

Gallo

Gejdenson

Gephardt

Gibbons

Gilchrest

Gillmor

Gilman

Gingrich

Glickman

Goodlatte

Gordon

Grams

Grandy

Green

Greenwood

Gunderson

Gutierrez

Hall (OH)

Hamburg

Hamilton

Harman

Hastert

Hastings

Hilliard

Hinchey

Hoagland

Hobson

Hochbrueckner

Hoekstra

Hoke

Holden

Horn

Houghton

Hoyer

Huffington

Hunter

Hyde

Inhofe

Inslee

Istook

Jefferson

Johnson (CT)

Johnson (GA)

Johnson (SD)

Johnson, E. B.

Johnston

Kanjorski

Kaptur

Kasich

Kennedy

Kennelly

Kildee

King

Kingston

Klecza

Klein

Klug

Knollenberg

Kolbe

Kopetski

Kreidler

Kyl

LaFalce

Lambert

Lancaster

Lantos

LaRocco

Lazio

Leach

Levin

Levy

Lewis (CA)

Lewis (GA)

Lightfoot

Linder

Lipinski

Livingston

Long

Lowe

Machtley

Maloney

Mann

Manton

Manzullo

Margolies-

Mezvinsky

Markey

Martinez

Matsui

Mazzoli

McCloskey

McCollum

McCrery

McCurdy

McDermott

McHale

McInnis

McKinney

McMillan

McNulty

Meehan

Meek

Menendez

Meyers

Mfume

Michel

Miller (CA)

Mineta

Mink

Moakley

Molinari

Montgomery

Moran

Morella

Murtha

Nadler

Natcher

Neal (MA)

Oberstar

Obey

Olver

Ortiz

Owens

Oxley

Pallone

Parker

Pastor

Paxon

Payne (NJ)

Payne (VA)

Pelosi

Penny

Peterson (FL)

Peterson (MN)

Pickett

Pickle

Pomeroy

Porter

Portman

Price (NC)

Pryce (OH)

Quinn

Ramstad

Rangel

Ravenel

Reed

Regula

Reynolds

Richardson

Ridge

Ros-Lehtinen

Rose

1108.17 DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BRYANT, pursuant to House Resolution 254 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2401) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1994 for military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe military personnel strengths for fiscal year 1994, and for other purposes.

Mr. DURBIN, Acting Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, resumed the chair; and after some time spent therein,

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. McNULTY, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. DURBIN, Acting Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 254, reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

Mr. WALKER demanded a separate vote on each of the following amendments: (base closure, the SCHROEDER amendment); (defense burden sharing, the LLOYD amendment); (defense conversion fund, the ANDREWS of Maine amendment); (homosexuals in the military, the SKELTON amendment); and (Somalia, the GEPHARDT amendment).

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to the following amendment [the SCHROEDER amendment] on which a separate vote had been demanded?

At the end of subtitle B of title XXVIII of the bill, add the following new section:

SEC. 2819. EXPANSION OF BASE CLOSURE LAW TO INCLUDE CONSIDERATION OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES FOR CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT.

(a) EXPANSION OF SCOPE OF BASE CLOSURE LAW.—The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating sections 2910 and 2911 as sections 2911 and 2912, respectively; and

(2) by inserting after section 2909 the following new section:

“SEC. 2910. CONSIDERATION OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.

“(a) RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMINATION AND REDUCTIONS OF MILITARY OPERATIONS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—With respect to recommendations made in 1995 for the closure and realignment of military installations under this part, the Secretary and the Commission shall include recommendations for the termination and reduction of military operations carried out by the United States at military installations outside the United States.

“(b) SELECTION CRITERIA.—(1) Not later than December 31, 1993, the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register and transmit to the congressional defense committees the criteria proposed to be used by the Department of Defense in making recommendations for terminating and reducing military operations carried out by the United States at military installations outside the United States. The Secretary shall provide an opportunity for public comment on the pro-

posed criteria for a period of at least 30 days and shall include notice of that opportunity in the publication required under the preceding sentence.

“(2) Not later than February 15, 1994, the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register and transmit to the congressional defense committees the final criteria to be used in making recommendations for terminating and reducing military operations carried out by the United States at military installations outside the United States.

“(3) The criteria developed under this subsection, along with the force-structure plan referred to in section 2903(a), shall be the final criteria to be used in making recommendations for terminating and reducing military operations carried out by the United States at military installations outside the United States, unless the criteria are—

“(A) disapproved by a joint resolution of Congress enacted on or before March 15, 1994; or

“(B) amended by the Secretary in the manner described in section 2903(b)(2)(B).

“(c) RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SECRETARY.—The Secretary shall transmit recommendations to the Commission for the termination and reduction of military operations of the United States at specified military installations outside the United States. The recommendations shall be included in the recommendations transmitted to the Commission with respect to the closure and realignment of military installations inside the United States under section 2903(c).

“(d) REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS BY COMMISSION.—The Commission shall review the recommendations transmitted by the Secretary under subsection (c). The Commission may make changes in the recommendations made by the Secretary only in the manner provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) of section 2903(d)(2). The Commission shall include, in its recommendations to the President under section 2903(d), its recommendations for the termination and reduction of military operations of the United States at specified military installations outside the United States.

“(e) REVIEW AND TRANSMITTAL BY THE PRESIDENT.—The recommendations transmitted by the President under section 2903(e) shall contain the recommendations of the Commission for the termination and reduction of military operations of the United States at specified military installations outside the United States.”

(b) EFFECT OF FAILURE TO INCLUDE SUFFICIENT OVERSEAS INSTALLATIONS.—Section 2903 of such Act is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) FAILURE TO INCLUDE SUFFICIENT OVERSEAS INSTALLATIONS.—(1) In the case of the recommendations of the Commission required to be transmitted to the Congress in 1995 pursuant to subsection (e), if the closure or realignment of military installations outside the United States does not account for at least 25 percent of the closure and realignment recommendations of the Commission, as certified by the Commission under paragraph (2), then the process by which military installations may be selected for closure or realignment under this part with respect to that year shall be terminated.

“(2) In determining whether the percentage specified in paragraph (1) is satisfied, the Commission shall calculate such percentage both in terms of—

“(A) the number of military installations outside the United States recommended for closure or realignment as a percentage of the total number of military installations recommended for closure or realignment that year; and

“(B) the number of military personnel and civilian employees of the Department of Defense stationed or employed outside the United States directly affected by the rec-

ommendations as a percentage of the total number of military personnel and civilian employees of the Department of Defense directly affected by the recommendations.”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Subsection (b) of section 2901 of such Act is amended to read as follows:

“(b) Purpose.—The purpose of this part is to provide a fair process that will result in the timely closure and realignment of military installations inside and outside the United States.”

(2) Section 2911 of such Act, as redesignated by subsection (a)(1), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (4), by inserting after the first sentence the following new sentence: “With respect to military operations carried out by the United States outside the United States, such term includes the sites and facilities at which such operations are carried out without regard to whether the sites and facilities are owned by the United States.”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) The terms ‘closure’ and ‘realignment’ include, with respect to military operations carried out by the United States outside the United States, the termination or reduction of such operations.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. McNULTY, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. WALKER objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 292
Nays 138

1108.18 [Roll No. 468]

YEAS—292

Abercrombie	Coleman	Gephardt
Ackerman	Collins (IL)	Gillmor
Allard	Collins (MI)	Glickman
Andrews (ME)	Condit	Gonzalez
Andrews (NJ)	Conyers	Gordon
Andrews (TX)	Cooper	Grandy
Applegate	Costello	Green
Baessler	Coyne	Greenwood
Barca	Cramer	Gunderson
Barcia	Crane	Gutierrez
Barlow	Danner	Hall (OH)
Barrett (WI)	Darden	Hall (TX)
Becerra	de la Garza	Hamburg
Beilenson	Deal	Hansen
Bentley	DeFazio	Harman
Bevill	DeLauro	Hastert
Bilbray	Dellums	Hastings
Bilirakis	Derrick	Hayes
Bishop	Deutsch	Hefley
Blackwell	Dicks	Hefner
Boehlert	Dingell	Hilliard
Bonior	Dixon	Hinchey
Borski	Dooley	Hoagland
Boucher	Dreier	Hobson
Brewster	Duncan	Hochbrueckner
Brooks	Durbin	Hoke
Browder	Edwards (CA)	Holden
Brown (CA)	Engel	Horn
Brown (FL)	English (AZ)	Hoyer
Brown (OH)	English (OK)	Hughes
Bryant	Eshoo	Inslee
Burton	Evans	Istook
Byrne	Farr	Jacobs
Callahan	Fazio	Jefferson
Calvert	Fields (LA)	Johnson (CT)
Camp	Filner	Johnson (GA)
Canady	Fingerhut	Johnson (SD)
Cantwell	Flake	Johnson, E. B.
Cardin	Foglietta	Johnston
Carr	Ford (MI)	Kanjorski
Chapman	Ford (TN)	Kaptur
Clay	Frank (MA)	Kasich
Clayton	Franks (NJ)	Kennedy
Clement	Frost	Kennelly
Clinger	Furse	Kildee
Clyburn	Gejdenson	Klecza